Case No. 5:13-cv-01081-PSG JURY VERDICT FORM

	ATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
GSI TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01</u>
Plaintiff,	JURY VERDICT I
v.	
UNITED MEMORIES, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

5:13-cv-01081-PSG

ERDICT FORM

Case 5:13-cv-01081-PSG Document 1044 Filed 11/22/15 Page 2 of 35

United States District Court

Northern District of California

П	Γab	1_	αf	C_{α}	n	tor	140
	Lan	ıe	()1	CO.	m	ıer	บร

I. 7	Γrade Secret Claims	
A.	GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims: Preliminary Questions	
B.	GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims Against UMI	
C.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
D.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Ready Ascertainability	
E.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
F.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations	
G.	GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims Against ISSI	
H.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
I.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Ready Ascertainability	
J.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
K.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Mitigation	
L.	Misappropriation of Trade Secrets: Damages	
M.	Contention of Bad Faith Assertion of a Trade Secret Claim	
II. I	Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim	
A.	GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim:	
Π.	Preliminary Question	
B.	GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim Against UMI	
C.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
D.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
E.	Damages as to UMI	
F.	GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Against ISSI 18	
G.	ISSI Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
H.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
I.	ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Mitigation	
J.	Damages as to ISSI	
III. I	Breach of Contract	
A.	GSI's Breach of Contract Claim Against UMI	
B.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	
C.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	
D.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Waiver	
E.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations	
Coss	No. 5:13 ov 01081 PSC	

Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01081-PSG</u> JURY VERDICT FORM

Case 5:13-cv-01081-PSG Document 1044 Filed 11/22/15 Page 3 of 35

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court Northern District of California

F.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Mitigation	26
G.	Damages	26
IV. Fr	aud	27
A.	GSI's Claim of Fraud against UMI	27
B.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	28
C.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	28
D.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Waiver	29
E.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations	29
F.	Damages	30
V. Fa	ılse Promise	31
A.	GSI's Claim of False Promise Against UMI	31
B.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands	32
C.	UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel	33
D.	Damages	34

I. Trade Secret Claims

A. GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims: Preliminary Questions

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI owned the information in any of the following 25 circuit schematics?

		Yes	No
1	chcon		
2	rclk		
3	rclk_match		
4	dmmatch		
5	wclk		
6	rcbufwrc		
7	wbkcom		
8	qcalblk		
9	qcal		
10	qcaladj		
11	qcals		
12	pcalreg		
13	ncalreg		
14	fidec		
15	gclk		
16	yclkmix		
17	r13buf		
18	rbuf		
19	bnkcon		
20	yclkclk		
21	lwrite		
22	pretimer		
23	bab_s80		
24	lread		
25	rcbuf		

If you answered "No" for the information in \underline{all} of the schematics, proceed to section I.M. If you answered "Yes" for the information in \underline{any} of the schematics, then answer the next question.

2. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the information in any of the following 25 circuit schematics was a trade secret at the time of the claimed misappropriation?

		Yes	No
1	chcon		
2	rclk		
3	rclk_match		
4	dmmatch		
5	wclk		
6	rcbufwrc		
7	wbkcom		
8	qcalblk		
9	qcal		
10	qcaladj		
11	qcals		
12	pcalreg		
13	ncalreg		
14	fidec		
15	gclk		
16	yclkmix		
17	r13buf		
18	rbuf		
19	bnkcon		
20	yclkclk		
21	lwrite		
22	pretimer		
23	bab_s80		
24	lread		
25	rcbuf		

If you answered "No" for the information in \underline{all} of the schematics, proceed to section I.M. If you answered "Yes" for the information in \underline{any} of the schematics, then answer the next question.

B. GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims Against UMI

3. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI improperly used or disclosed the trade secret information in any of the following 25 circuit schematics?

		Yes	No
1	chcon		
2	rclk		
3	rclk_match		
4	dmmatch		
5	wclk		
6	rcbufwrc		
7	wbkcom		
8	qcalblk		
9	qcal		
10	qcaladj		
11	qcals		
12	pcalreg		
13	ncalreg		
14	fidec		
15	gclk		
16	yclkmix		
17	r13buf		
18	rbuf		
19	bnkcon		
20	yclkclk		
21	lwrite		
22	pretimer		
23	bab_s80		
24	lread		
25	rcbuf		

If you answered "No" for the information in \underline{all} of the schematics, proceed to section I.M. If you answered "Yes" for the information in \underline{any} of the schematics, then answer the next question.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

4. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI's misappropriation was a substantial factor in causing GSI to suffer harm?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section I.M.

C. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

5. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.D.

6. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of misappropriation of trade secrets against UMI and resulted in prejudice to UMI?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

D. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Ready Ascertainability

7. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's alleged trade secrets were readily ascertainable by proper means at the time of the alleged acquisition, use, or disclosure?

Yes	No

E. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

8. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its misappropriation of trade secrets claim intending that UMI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.F.

9. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.F.

10. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.F.

11. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to UMI's injury?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

F. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations

12. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the misappropriation occurred on or before March 8, 2010?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section I.G.

13. Did UMI prove that GSI knew or should have known, with the exercise of reasonable diligence, of the existence of the misappropriation on or before March 8, 2010?

Yes	No

G. GSI's Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Claims Against ISSI

14. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that ISSI improperly acquired or used the trade secret information in any of the following 25 circuit schematics?

		Yes	No
1	chcon		
2	rclk		
3	rclk_match		
4	dmmatch		
5	wclk		
6	rcbufwrc		
7	wbkcom		
8	qcalblk		
9	qcal		
10	qcaladj		
11	qcals		
12	pcalreg		
13	ncalreg		
14	fidec		
15	gclk		
16	yclkmix		
17	r13buf		
18	rbuf		
19	bnkcon		
20	yclkclk		
21	lwrite		
22	pretimer		
23	bab_s80		
24	lread		
25	rcbuf		

If you answered "No" for the information in \underline{all} of the schematics, proceed to section I.L. If you answered "Yes" for the information in \underline{any} of the schematics, then answer the next question.

15. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that ISSI's misappropriation was a substantial factor in causing GSI to suffer harm?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.L.

H. ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

16. Did ISSI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.I.

17. Did ISSI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of misappropriation of trade secrets against ISSI and resulted in prejudice to ISSI?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

I. ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Ready Ascertainability

18. Did ISSI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's alleged trade secrets were readily ascertainable by proper means at the time of the alleged acquisition, use, or disclosure?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

J. ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

19. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its misappropriation of trade secrets claim intending that ISSI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.K.

20. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.K.

21. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that ISSI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.K.

22. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that ISSI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to ISSI's injury?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

K. ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Mitigation

23. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI could have avoided its losses through reasonable efforts or expenditures?

Yes	No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section I.L.

24. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI failed to make such reasonable efforts or expenditures?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

- L. Misappropriation of Trade Secrets: Damages
 - 25. What was the amount of GSI's general damages?

\$_____

Proceed to the next question.

26. Was ISSI unjustly enriched by the misappropriation of GSI's trade secrets?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to question 28.

27. What was the amount of ISSI's unjust enrichment?

\$

Proceed to the next question.

28. Did UMI act willfully and maliciously when it misappropriated GSI's trade secrets?

Yes	No

Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01081-PSG</u> JURY VERDICT FORM

29. Did ISSI act willfully and maliciously when it misappropriated GSI's trade secrets?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

M. Contention of Bad Faith Assertion of a Trade Secret Claim

Answer this question if you answered "No" for every schematic in question 1, or if you answered "No" for every schematic in question 2, or if you answered "No" for every schematic in question 3, or if you answered "No" to question 4, or if you answered "No" for every schematic in question 14, or if you answered "No" to question 15, or if you answered "Yes" to questions 16 and 17, or if you answered "Yes" to questions 19, 20, 21, and 22, or if you answered "Yes" to questions 23 and 24.

30. Did GSI initiate and/or maintain its claim of trade secret misappropriation against ISSI in bad faith?

Yes	No

II. Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim

A. GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim: Preliminary Question

31. Did GSI and Cisco have an economic relationship that probably would have resulted in an economic benefit to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

- B. GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Claim Against UMI
 - 32. Did UMI know of the economic relationship between GSI and Cisco?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

33. Did UMI engage in unfair competition?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

34. By engaging in this conduct, did UMI intend to disrupt GSI's relationship with Cisco or know that disruption of the relationship was certain or substantially certain to occur?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

35. Was the relationship disrupted?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

36. Was UMI's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

C. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

37. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.D.

38. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of intentional interference with prospective economic relations and resulted in prejudice to UMI?

Yes	No

D. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

39. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its intentional interference with prospective economic relations claim intending that UMI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.E.

40. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.E.

41. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.E.

42. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to UMI's injury?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to Section II.F. If you answered "No," proceed to the next question.

Office Diates District Court	Northern District of California	

Е.	Damages as to UMI			
	43. Has GSI proven tha	t the conduct i	n question 33	caused damage to GSI?
		Yes	No	
	Proceed to the nex	t question.		
	44. If so, how much?			

Proceed to the next question.

45. Did UMI act willfully and maliciously when it intentionally interfered with GSI's prospective economic relations?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section II.F.

46. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award GSI?

Proceed to the next question.

F. GSI's Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations Against ISSI

47. Did ISSI know of the economic relationship between GSI and Cisco?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

25

26

27

28

48. Did ISSI engage in unfair competition?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

49. By engaging in this conduct, did ISSI intend to disrupt GSI's relationship with Cisco or know that disruption of the relationship was certain or substantially certain to occur?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

50. Was the relationship disrupted?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

51. Was ISSI's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

G. ISSI Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

52. Did ISSI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.H.

53. Did ISSI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of intentional interference with prospective economic relations and resulted in prejudice to ISSI?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

H. ISSI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

54. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its intentional interference with prospective economic relations claim intending that ISSI rely on it or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.I.

55. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.I.

56. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that ISSI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section II.I.

1	57. Do you find by a conduct to ISSI's		of the evidence	that ISSI reasonably relied upon GSI's
2		Yes	No	
3				
4	Proceed to the n	ext question.		
5	I. ISSI's Affirmative	Defense: Mitiga	tion	
6		9		that CCI could have avaided its lesses
7	through reasonab			that GSI could have avoided its losses
8		Yes	No	
9				
10	If you answered	l "Yes," then an	swer the next	question. If you answered "No," then
11	proceed to Secti			
12			of the evidence	that GSI failed to make such reasonable
13	efforts or expend	ntures ?		
14		Yes	No	
15				
16	If you answered next question.	"Yes," proceed	l to Section III	. If you answered "No," proceed to t
17	J. Damages as to ISSI			
18			in quartien 19	agusad damaga ta CSI9
19	00. Has OSI proven	mai the conduct	in question 40	caused damage to GSI?
20		Yes	No	
21				l
22	Proceed to the n	ext question.		
23	61. If so, how much	?		
24	\$			
25	Proceed to the r	ext question.		
26				
27				

Yes	No

Defense: Mitigation

Yes	No

Yes	No		

Ι

Yes	No

62. Did ISSI act willfully and maliciously when it intentionally interfer	ed with	GSI's
prospective economic relations?		

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.

63.	What	amount	of	punitive	damages,	if	any,	do	you	award	GSI'
-----	------	--------	----	----------	----------	----	------	----	-----	-------	------

\$			
Φ			

Breach of Contract III. A. GSI's Breach of Contract Claim Against UMI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

64. Did GSI and United Memories enter into a contract?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.

65. Did UMI fail to comply with its obligations under the contract?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.

66. Did UMI's failure to comply with the terms of the contract cause GSI damage?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.

B. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

67. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section III.C.

Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01081-PSG</u> JURY VERDICT FORM

68. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of breach of contract and resulted in prejudice to UMI?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

C. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

69. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its breach of contract claim intending that UMI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section III.D.

70. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section III.D.

71. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section III.D.

72. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to UMI's injury?

Yes	No

1	
1	

D. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Waiver

73. Did UMI prove that GSI knew that UMI had not performed its contractual promise?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.E.

74. Did UMI prove that GSI knew that failure of UMI to perform these contractual promises gave GSI the right to sue UMI for damages?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.E.

75. Did UMI prove that GSI intended to give up this right?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.E.

76. Did UMI prove that GSI voluntarily gave up this right?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

E. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations

77. Did UMI prove that it breached the contract before March 8, 2010?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section III.F.

1	78. Did UMI prove that GSI knew or shot diligence, of the existence of the breach	uld have known, with the exercise of reasonable ch before March 8, 2010?
2	Yes	No
3		110
4	Proceed to the next question.	
5	F. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Mitigation	•
6		
7	through reasonable efforts or expendit	e evidence that GSI could have avoided its losses tures?
8	Yes	No
9		
10	If you answered "Yes," then answer	r the next question. If you answered "No," then
11	proceed to Section III.G.	
12	11	e evidence that GSI failed to make such reasonable
13	efforts or expenditures?	
14	Yes	No
15		
16	If you answered "Yes," proceed to S next question.	Section IV. If you answered "No," proceed to the
17	G. Damages	
18	81. What are GSI's damages?	
19		
20	a. General damages	\$
21	b. Special damages	\$
22		TOTAL \$
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		26

United States District Court	forthern District of California
_)	\circ
_	

TX7	Emand
IV.	Frand

A. GSI's Claim of Fraud against UMI

82. Did UMI make a false representation to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.

83. Did UMI know that the representation was false, or did it make the representation recklessly and without regard for its truth?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.

84. Did UMI intend that GSI rely on the representation?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.

85. Did GSI reasonably rely on the representation?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.

86. Was GSI's reliance on UMI's representation a substantial factor in causing harm to GSI?

Yes	No

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.

B. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

87. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.C.

88. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of fraud and resulted in prejudice to UMI?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

C. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

89. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its fraud claim intending that UMI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.D.

90. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.D.

91. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01081-PSG</u> JURY VERDICT FORM

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section IV.D.

92. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to UMI's injury?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

D. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Waiver

93. Did UMI prove that GSI knew that UMI's work on Atris would not be for the benefit of GSI unless the parties entered into a separate contract for that work?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section IV.E.

94. Did UMI prove that GSI continued to permit United Memories to work on an Atris design during the course of its 2008 contract for a 576 Mb RLDRAM design, with full knowledge of that UMI's work on Atris would not be for the benefit of GSI without a separate contract for that work, when a reasonable person under the same or similar circumstances would not have done so?

Yes	No

Proceed to the next question.

E. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Statute of Limitations

95. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI made a false representation that occurred on or before March 8, 2010?

Yes	No

28

1	If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section IV.F.
2	96. Did UMI prove that GSI knew or should have known, with the exercise of reasonable
3	diligence, of UMI's false representation on or before March 8, 2010?
4	Yes No
5	
6	Proceed to the next question.
7	F. Damages
8	97. What are GSI's damages?
9	a. Past economic loss
10	lost profits \$
11	other past economic loss \$
12	Total Past Economic Damages: \$
13	b. Future economic loss
14	lost profits \$
15	TOTAL \$
16	Proceed to the next question.
17	98. Did UMI act willfully and maliciously when it made the false representation?
18	
19	Yes No
20	If you argreed "Yes " nuceed to the next greation If you argreed "No " nuceed
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," proceed to Section V.
21	99. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award GSI?
22	\$
23	Proceed to the next question.
24	4
25	
26	

3

100.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Case No. <u>5:13-cv-01081-PSG</u> JURY VERDICT FORM

V. **False Promise**

A. GSI's Claim of False Promise Against UMI

Did UMI make a promise to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

101. Did UMI intend to perform this promise when UMI made it?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. If you answered "No," then answer the next question.

102. Did UMI intend that GSI rely on this promise?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

103. Did GSI reasonably rely on this promise?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

104.

Yes	No

Did UMI perform the promised act?

If you answered "Yes," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. If you answered "No," then answer the next question.

105. Was GSI's reliance on UMI's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to GSI?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

B. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Unclean Hands

106. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI committed misconduct that was unconscionable?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section $V\!.\!C$

107. Did UMI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI's misconduct was directly related to its claim of false promise and resulted in prejudice to UMI?

Yes	No

C. UMI's Affirmative Defense: Estoppel

108. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI made a representation of fact relating to its false promise claim intending that UMI rely on it, or remained silent where GSI had a duty to speak and the circumstances required it to speak?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.D.

109. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that GSI knew the true state of the facts?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.D.

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI was ignorant of the true state of the facts?

Yes	No	

If you answered "Yes," then answer the next question. If you answered "No," then proceed to Section V.D.

111. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that UMI reasonably relied upon GSI's conduct to UMI's injury?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. If you answered "No," proceed to the next question.

112.		What are GSI's damages?		
	a.	Past economic loss		
		Lost profits	\$	
		Other past economic loss	\$	
		Total Past Economic	Damages:	\$
	b.	Future economic loss		
		Lost profits	\$	
			TOTAL	\$

Proceed to the next question.

D. Damages

Did UMI act willfully and maliciously when it made the false promise?

Yes	No

If you answered "Yes," proceed to the next question. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

114. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award GSI?

\$_____

Case 5:13-cv-01081-PSG Document 1044 Filed 11/22/15 Page 35 of 35

Have the presiding juror sign and date this form. Signed: ______
Presiding Juror Dated: After all verdict forms have been signed, notify the courtroom deputy that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom. SO ORDERED. Dated: November 22, 2015 United States Magistrate Judge Northern District of California United States District Court